

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> | <p>Application No. 10/535,577</p> | <p>Applicant(s) BUSCH ET AL.</p> |
| | <p>Examiner D. S. Nakarani</p> | <p>Art Unit 1794</p> |

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Ashley I. Pezzner, Attorney.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
20 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Ashley I. Pezzner agreed to amend claims 20 and 22 to overcome art rejection. For details of the agreement, see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. S. Nakarani/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:50 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)